RECEIVED

OCT 17 4 25 PH 102

FOR MARKET 1 221

BEFORE THE POSTAL RATE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

EXPERIMENTAL RATE AND SERVICE CHANGES TO IMPLEMENT NEGOTIATED SERVICE AGREEMENT WITH CAPITAL ONE SERVICES, INC.

Docket No. MC2002-2

RESPONSES OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS PLUNKETT TO INTERROGATORY OF OFFICE OF THE CONSUMER ADVOCATE REDIRECTED FROM WITNESS CRUM (OCA/USPS-T3-1)

The United States Postal Service hereby provides the response of witness

Plunkett to the following interrogatory of Office of the Consumer Advocate: OCA/USPS–

T3–1, filed on October 7, 2002, and redirected from witness Crum.

The interrogatory is stated verbatim and is followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr. Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

Scott L. Reiter

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260-1137 (202) 268-3089 Fax -5402 October 17, 2002

RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS PLUNKETT TO INTERROGATORY OF THE OFFICE OF THE CONSUMER ADVOCATE REDIRECTED FROM WITNESS CRUM

OCA/USPS-T3-1. Please refer to your testimony at page 1, lines 19-21, which references the declining block discounts.

- (a) What is the economic justification for these discounts? Are these discounts cost-based? If these discounts are cost-based, please provide the supporting analysis.
- (b) Alternatively, are the discounts based on any type of research on possible promotional rates? If so, please provide the relevant research materials.
- (c) Conversely, are these discounts simply arbitrary, being based on supposition, intuition, or speculations? Please explain

RESPONSE:

- (a) The reason for the discounts is described in my testimony at pages 4-6.
- (b) The Postal Service does not consider these rates to be promotional, and did not base its agreement on any research on such pricing techniques.
- (c) The basis for the discounts, and the relationship of those discounts to the other elements in the instant agreement, is explained throughout my testimony.

DECLARATION

WorkRat

I, Michael K. Plunkett, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing answers are true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Dated: 10 11/02

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice.

Scott L. Reiter

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260--1137 October 17, 2002